Literature Search

ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Imaging of the Axilla

Literature Search Performed on: 08/12/2019

Beginning Date: January 2014

End Date: July 2019

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily <1946 to August 09, 2019>

Search Strategy

1 Axilla/ (12505)

2 exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (2548646)

3 Technetium Tc 99m Sestamibi/ (5384)

4 1 and (2 or 3) (2648)

5 limit 4 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2014 -Current" and female) (430)

Literature Search Performed on: 08/12/2019

Beginning Date: January 2014

End Date: July 2019

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily <1946 to August 09, 2019>

Search Strategy

1 Breast Neoplasms/ (273282)

2 Carcinoma, Ductal, Breast/ (15226)

3 Neoplasm Staging/ (165689)

4 1 and 2 and 3 (2611)

5 Lymphatic Metastasis/ (86731)

6 1 and 3 and 5 (4162)

7 exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (2548646)

8 1 and 5 and 7 (3262)

9 4 or 6 or 8 (8321)

10 limit 9 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2014 -Current" and female) (1626)

Literature Search Performed on: 08/12/2019

Beginning Date: January 2014

End Date: July 2019

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily <1946 to August 09, 2019>

Search Strategy

1 Breast Neoplasms/ (273282)

2 Carcinoma, Ductal, Breast/ (15226)

3 Neoplasm Staging/ (165689)

4 1 and 2 and 3 (2611)

5 Lymphatic Metastasis/ (86731)

6 1 and 3 and 5 (4162)

7 exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (2548646)

8 1 and 5 and 7 (3262)

9 4 or 6 or 8 (8321)

10 limit 9 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2014 -Current" and female) (1626)

Summary

Literature Search

ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Imaging of the Axilla

Source	#Unique Refs	#Retained Refs
Old bibliography	0	0
Literature Search(es)	1815	56
Author Added	121	105
Supporting Docs	5	5
Total		166

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.